



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,482	10/30/2001	Evan Kirshenbaum	10010971-1 1307	
7590 12/15/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			KINDRED, ALFORD W	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2163	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/021,482	Applicant(s) KIRSHENBAUM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Alford W. Kindred	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we realize to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	bruary 2005.					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-36 and 82-88</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-36 and 82-88</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: amendment filed on 02/22/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 31-36 and 82-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito, US# 6,302,795 B1, in view of Bloomfield et al., US# 20020028704 A1.

As per claim 31, Ito teaches "a portable housing . . . one or more data collection devices; and integrated with said housing, a time-keeping device, a data storage device" (see col. 11, lines 33-48) "a programmable device for correlating all data captured by the data collection device based upon time reported by the time-keeping device and for storing so-correlated data on the storage device" (see col. 7, lines 51-67). Ito does not explicitly teach "wherein the portable device is worn on a user for capturing a substantially comprehensive record on an immediate environment of the user". Bloomfield et al. teaches "wherein the portable device is worn on a user for capturing a substantially comprehensive record on an immediate environment of a user" (see paragraphs [0006], [[0015], and [0018], whereas Bloomfield's data capture device captures the environment data of a particular user in a manner substantially similar to

Application/Control Number: 10/021,482

Art Unit: 2163

applicant's claim language above). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Ito and Bloomfield above, because using the steps of "wherein the portable device is worn on a user for capturing a substantially comprehensive record on an immediate environment of a user", would have given those skilled in the art the ability to capture various data, via an user device worn on that user, of an user's environment. This give users the advantage of processing data, via a mobile device worn on a user, of a particular environment in which the user is present. This allows for more accurate and faster processing of data of a user's environmental surroundings more efficiently.

As per claim 32, Ito teaches "associated with the housing at least one input device, the programmable device further operable to use input from each said input device to record time-stamped annotations in the record" (see col. 9, lines 20-39).

As per claim 33, Ito teaches "the programmable device having program code for determining a segment of the record to be uninteresting and to compress the record by deleting or degrading segments so-determined" (see col. 10, lines 1-30).

As per claim 34, Ito teaches "a communications port for transmitting a subset of the record stored on the data storage device" (see col. 6, lines 19-37).

As per claims 35-36, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 31-34 and are similarly rejected.

As per claim 82, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 31 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Art Unit: 2163

As per claims 83-84, Ito does not explicitly teach, "wherein the data collection device are separate from the portable housing and wirelessly transmit the autobiographical data . . .". Bloomfield et al. teaches "wherein the data collection device are separate from the portable housing and wirelessly transmit the autobiographical data . . ." (see paragraph [0048] and [0145], whereas Ito's personal data collected is equivalent to applicant's autobiographical element and Ito's wireless transmission involving a data capture device is equivalent to applicant's wireless element as illustrated in applicant's claim language). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary in the art to have combined the teachings of Ito and Bloomfield above, because using the steps of "wherein the data collection device are separate from the portable housing and wirelessly transmit the autobiographical data . . .", would have given those skilled in the art the tools to wirelessly transmit personal data

Art Unit: 2163

related to various locations of users expeditiously, This give users the advantage of locating and/or processing a particular user's data regardless of that user's location faster.

As per claim 85, Ito teaches "a video camera wearable as eyeglasses on the user" (see abstract and col. 1, lines 15-35, wherein Ito's portable machine associated with a video element reads on applicant's claim language).

As per claim 86, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the argument given above for rejected claim 82 and is similarly rejected, wherein Ito's portable device element reads on applicant's claim language involving a biometric sensor).

As per claim 87, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 82 and is similarly rejected.

As per claim 88, Ito teaches "a video unit associate with a heads-up display . . . field of view of the video unit" (see col. 15, lines 10-28).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-36 and 82-88 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,482

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

Page 6

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr. 2100